

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 * * *

8 RICARDO IRIVE,

Petitioner,

Case No. 3:15-cv-00487-MMD-WGC

9 v.

ORDER

10 TIMOTHY FILSON, *et al.*,

Respondents.


11
12 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents filed an answer on November 6,
13 2017. The petitioner, Ricardo Irive, was then due to file a reply by January 5, 2018. See
14 Order entered May 26, 2017 (ECF No. 24) (60 days for reply).

15 On January 5, 2018, Irive filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 39),
16 requesting a 49-day extension, to February 23, 2018. Irive's counsel states that the
17 extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents
18 do not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court finds that the motion is made
19 in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
20 extension of time requested. The Court will grant the motion for extension of time.

21 However, in view of the age of this case and the amount of time Irive will have had
22 to file the reply, *the Court will not be inclined to further extend this deadline.*

23 It is therefore ordered that petitioner's motion for extension of time (ECF No. 39) is
24 granted. Petitioner will have until February 23, 2018, to file his reply.

25 DATED THIS 19th day of January 2018

26
27 
28 MIRANDA M. DU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE